

Remarks

Claims 1-35 were pending in this application. Claims 1, 8-9, 12, 17-19, 21-22, 24, 26, and 31-35 have been amended, claims 11, 13, 16, 25, 27, and 30 have been canceled herein without prejudice, and no claims have been added. Reconsideration of this application is respectfully requested in light of the above amendments and the following remarks.

Claim Objections

Claims 11-13 have been objected to by the Examiner due to the language “the at least one electronic signal includes a plurality of electronic signals” in claim 11. Claim 11 has been canceled herein, such that this objection is now obviated.

Rejection of Claims 1-3 and 5-35 Under 35 U.S.C. § 102(e) Over Macrae

Claims 1-3 and 5-35 have been rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(e) as being anticipated by U.S. Application No. 2003/0005463 (“Macrae”). In response, Applicant has amended independent claims 1 and 22 to more particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter of the invention.

Specifically, claim 1 has been amended to incorporate the language of former claim 16, reciting “a receiver for receiving a plurality of electronic signals each corresponding to a program, the plurality of electronic signals including one or more Internet addresses embedded therein,” “a processor for compiling a historical list of the Internet addresses extracted from the plurality of electronic signals, wherein the processor includes memory for storing the historical list and program source information indicating the program from which each Internet address was extracted” and “a web browser ... for presenting the historical list of the Internet addresses and the associated program source information to the user.” Claim 22 has been similarly amended. Advantageously, this feature of Applicant’s invention allows a user to view the historical list of the Internet addresses and the associated program source information at a later time and still be able to put the Internet addresses in context with respect to the particular television program from which they were extracted (see p. 11, lines 8-15).

In contrast to Applicants' invention, Macrae discloses managing website addresses as a web browser bookmark directory wherein only an Internet site address (numerical address) and a descriptive title of the website are stored (*see Macrae*, p. 5, ¶ 0050 and ¶ 0051). For example, Macrae discusses transferring a numerical Internet site address and the corresponding descriptive title of the website ("Today's NFL Game Scores") to a directory portion of the RAM (*see Macrae*, p. 5, ¶ 0048). Therefore, in Macrae's system, only the *title of the website* is stored, *not an identifier for the program* from which the Internet address and corresponding website were extracted. Clearly, Macrae does not disclose or suggest "storing the historical list and program source information indicating the program from which each Internet address was extracted" and "presenting the historical list of the Internet addresses and the associated program source information to the user" as disclosed and claimed by Applicants.

Accordingly, amended claims 1 and 22 are believed to be patentably distinguishable over Macrae, and Applicants respectfully request reconsideration and withdrawal of the rejection of these claims, along with their corresponding dependent claims, under 35 U.S.C. § 102(e).

Rejection of Claim 4
Under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) Over Macrae

Claim 4 has been rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Macrae. Claim 4 depends from and contains all the limitations of amended claim 1 which, for the reasons stated above, is believed to be patentably distinguishable over Macrae. Therefore, Applicants also respectfully request reconsideration and withdrawal of this rejection.

Conclusion

In summary, Applicants believe that the claims now meet all formal and substantive requirements and that the case is in appropriate condition for allowance. Accordingly, such action is respectfully requested. If a telephone conference would expedite allowance of the case or resolve any further questions, such a call is invited at the Examiner's convenience.

Respectfully submitted,
MONICA A. MARICS et al.

By Stephanie M. Mansfield
Stephanie M. Mansfield
Reg. No. 43,773
Attorney/Agent for Applicant

Date: September 16, 2003

BROOKS KUSHMAN P.C.
1000 Town Center, 22nd Floor
Southfield, MI 48075-1238
Phone: 248-358-4400
Fax: 248-358-3351